Staff : SMT. SUBHA V

Subject : 01EC6302 - ESTIMATION & DETECTION THEORY (EDT)

Session Name: **Final Feedback**   Batch : **MTech KTU SP 2K19**

Session Id : **TE-SN306-Jul-2020**   Survey Id : **TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : **6**   **Overall Score : 95.43**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	66.67%	**71**
		Good	14.13	2	33.33%	**28.26**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	4	66.67%	**58**
		Good	11.81	2	33.33%	**23.62**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	3	50%	**22.5**
		Good	5.71	3	50%	**17.13**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	5	83.33%	**29.25**
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	**0**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	16.67%	**1.64**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	83.33%	**46.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	16.67%	**6.34**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR. LAKSHMI V S

Subject : 01EC6302 - ESTIMATION & DETECTION THEORY (EDT)

Session Name: Final Feedback

Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19

Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 6

Overall Score : 96.48

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	66.67%	**71**
		Good	14.13	2	33.33%	**28.26**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	4	66.67%	**58**
		Good	11.81	2	33.33%	**23.62**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	5	83.33%	**37.5**
		Good	5.71	1	16.67%	**5.71**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	33.33%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	100%	**55.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
- Good

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.SHEEJA M K

Subject : 01EC6304 - DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING (DIP)

Session Name: Final Feedback	**Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19**
Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 6	**Overall Score : 95.43**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	66.67%	**71**
		Good	14.13	2	33.33%	**28.26**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	3	50%	**43.5**
		Good	11.81	3	50%	**35.43**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	3	50%	**22.5**
		Good	5.71	3	50%	**17.13**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	33.33%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	100%	**55.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.SHEEJA M K

Subject : 01EC6306 - MULTIRATE SYSTEMS & WAVELETS (MSW)

Session Name: Final Feedback	**Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19**
Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 6	**Overall Score : 97.6**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	5	83.33%	**88.75**
		Good	14.13	1	16.67%	**14.13**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	5	83.33%	**72.5**
		Good	11.81	1	16.67%	**11.81**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	4	66.67%	**30**
		Good	5.71	2	33.33%	**11.42**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	5	83.33%	**29.25**
		10 to 25	3.66	1	16.67%	**3.66**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	100%	**55.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.SHEEJA M K

Subject : 01EC6392 - MINIPROJECT

Session Name: Final Feedback **Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19**

Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020 **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 6 **Overall Score : 96.85**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	66.67%	**71**
		Good	14.13	2	33.33%	**28.26**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	4	66.67%	**58**
		Good	11.81	2	33.33%	**23.62**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	5	83.33%	**37.5**
		Good	5.71	1	16.67%	**5.71**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	33.33%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	100%	**55.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	6	100%	**67.5**
		Good	9.03	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : SMT. NISHA JOSE K

Subject : 01EC6316 - PATTERN RECOGNITION & MACHINE LEARNING(PRML)

Session Name: Final Feedback	**Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19**
Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 6	**Overall Score : 95.05**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	66.67%	**71**
		Good	14.13	1	16.67%	**14.13**
		Fair	10.28	1	16.67%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	4	66.67%	**58**
		Good	11.81	2	33.33%	**23.62**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	4	66.67%	**30**
		Good	5.71	2	33.33%	**11.42**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	33.33%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	83.33%	**46.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	16.67%	**6.34**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : SMT. NISHA JOSE K

Subject : 01EC6394 - IMAGE PROCESSING (IP) LAB

Session Name: Final Feedback	**Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19**
Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 6	**Overall Score : 95.09**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	3	50%	**53.25**
		Good	14.13	3	50%	**42.39**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	4	66.67%	**58**
		Good	11.81	2	33.33%	**23.62**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	4	66.67%	**30**
		Good	5.71	2	33.33%	**11.42**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	33.33%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	83.33%	**46.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	16.67%	**6.34**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : SMT. AKHILARAJ D.

Subject : 01EC6122 - DESIGN OF VLSI SYSTEMS (VLSI)

Session Name: Final Feedback

Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19

Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 6

Overall Score : 95.25

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	66.67%	**71**
		Good	14.13	2	33.33%	**28.26**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	3	50%	**43.5**
		Good	11.81	3	50%	**35.43**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	4	66.67%	**30**
		Good	5.71	2	33.33%	**11.42**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	33.33%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	83.33%	**46.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	16.67%	**6.34**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.LIBISH T M

Subject : 01EC6392 - MINIPROJECT

Session Name: Final Feedback   **Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19**

Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 6   **Overall Score : 92.53**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	66.67%	**71**
		Good	14.13	2	33.33%	**28.26**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	4	66.67%	**58**
		Good	11.81	2	33.33%	**23.62**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	4	66.67%	**30**
		Good	5.71	2	33.33%	**11.42**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	1	16.67%	**3.66**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	16.67%	**1.64**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	5	83.33%	**20**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	16.67%	**1.65**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	4	66.67%	**37**
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	16.67%	**6.34**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	16.67%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	5	83.33%	**23.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	16.67%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	5	83.33%	**34.4**
		Some Times	3.89	1	16.67%	**3.89**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	4	66.67%	**45**
		Good	9.03	2	33.33%	**18.06**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : SRI. SAJITHSETHU P

Subject : 01EC6394 - IMAGE PROCESSING (IP) LAB

Session Name: Final Feedback	**Batch : MTech KTU SP 2K19**
Session Id : TE-SN306-Jul-2020	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 6	**Overall Score : 97.53**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	5	83.33%	**88.75**
		Good	14.13	1	16.67%	**14.13**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	5	83.33%	**72.5**
		Good	11.81	1	16.67%	**11.81**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	5	83.33%	**37.5**
		Good	5.71	1	16.67%	**5.71**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	66.67%	**23.4**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	33.33%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	6	100%	**24**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	100%	**55.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	6	100%	**28.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	100%	**41.28**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	100%	**40.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	100%	**69**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	5	83.33%	**56.25**
		Good	9.03	1	16.67%	**9.03**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department